1 2 3 4 5 6 7	JONATHAN M. COUPAL, State Bar No. 10 TREVOR A. GRIMM, State Bar No. 34258 TIMOTHY A. BITTLE, State Bar No. 11230 LAURA E. MURRAY, State Bar No. 255858 Howard Jarvis Taxpayers Foundation 921 Eleventh Street, Suite 1201 Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 444-9950 Fax: (916) 444-9823 Email: tim@hjta.org	00
8 9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
10		
11	HOWARD JARVIS TAXPAYERS ASSN.;) Case No. BC550394
12	LINNEA WARREN; THOMAS WOLFE; SEDWARD HENRY; AND ALL OTHERS	
13	SIMILARLY SITUATED,)) DECLARATION OF TIMOTHY BITTLE IN
14	Plaintiffs,	SUPPORT OF PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT
	V.)
15	CITY OF PASADENA,)
16	Defendant.) Date: March 27, 2018) Time: 10:00 a.m.
17		Dept: 323
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

I

6

14

11

21

28

DECLARATION OF TIMOTHY BITTLE

I, Timothy A. Bittle, declare as follows:

I am an attorney, duly licensed by the State of California, admitted to practice before this Court, and counsel of record for plaintiffs in this action. I have personal knowledge of the facts to follow, and if called upon as a witness, my testimony would be the same.

- 1. The proposed settlement was reached through arm's-length bargaining over a period of several years, and not until both parties were well acquainted with the strengths and weaknesses of their opponent's case and their own. On April 14, 2015, the parties attended a full-day mediation conducted by the Hon. George P. Schiavelli (ret.). That mediation was unsuccessful. This Court then ordered the parties to a settlement conference with Judge Helen I. Bendix, the first session of which occurred on December 16, 2015. That session was unsuccessful. The parties returned for a second session on March 16, 2016, which was also unsuccessful. The City deposed two of the three named plaintiffs, as well as both of the plaintiffs' designated expert witnesses. Plaintiffs deposed the City's Person Most Knowledgeable on numerous topics and also deposed both of the City's designated expert witnesses. In response to written discovery requests from the plaintiffs, the City produced 5,576 pages of documents and responded to a combined total of 108 interrogatories, requests for admission and requests for production. In response to written discovery requests from the City, plaintiffs responded to a combined 97 interrogatories, requests for admission and requests for production. Finally, both sides filed trial briefs and a joint exhibit list, and were ready for trial. It was not until this late stage in the proceedings that the parties at last came to a meeting of the minds.
- 2. The parties to the proposed settlement did not begin negotiating the dollar amount of an attorney fee award until after the other settlement terms had been accepted and reduced to writing. The three attorneys who worked on plaintiffs' case kept daily, contemporaneous records of their time spent on this case. I presented to the City the number of hours each attorney recorded and a requested hourly rate for each attorney. I did not seek a multiplier. The City accepted the number of hours, but asked that the hourly rate of the two most

experienced attorneys, including myself, be reduced by \$100/hour. We accepted the City's counter-offer. If the case had gone to trial and the court had awarded refunds, I would have sought a fee based on a percentage of the fund from which refunds would be paid. That fee amount would have been significantly greater than the hourly compensation agreed to here.

3. Counsel for both parties in this case have relevant experience. The City's lawyer, Holly Whatley, is a partner in the law firm Colantuono, Highsmith & Whatley (CH&W). I have litigated several Proposition 218 cases where CH&W was either counsel of record or amicus curiae. I have been a presenter on several Proposition 218 panels where a CH&W partner was also a presenter. I have great respect for the firm and consider them experts on Proposition 218. As for me, I am the Director of Legal Affairs for the Howard Jarvis Taxpayers Association, which authored and sponsored Proposition 218. I have held this position for over 20 years. I too have been counsel of record or amicus curiae on dozens of cases involving Proposition 218, including at least four class actions. In my opinion, the present settlement is fair, reasonable and adequate and in the best interest of the Class members.

I certify upon penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed February 27, 2018, in the City of Sacramento, California.

4 im Bittle

1 2 3 4 5 7 9 12 13 14 15 19 20 21 23

PROOF OF SERVICE

Howard Jarvis Taxpayers Assn., et al. v. City of Pasadena Case No. BC550394

I, Lorice Strem, declare:

6

8

10

11

16

17

18

22

24

25 26

27

28

I am employed in the County of Sacramento, California. I am over the age of 18 years, and not a party to the within action. My business address is: 921 11th Street, Suite 1201, Sacramento, California 95814.

Pursuant to the Court's Order Authorizing Electronic Service of Documents dated November 13, 2014, I electronically transmitted the following document in a PDF or word processing format to those persons listed below at the respective electronic mailbox addresses using CaseHomePage in accordance with the Cal. Rules of Court, Rule 2.251(g):

DECLARATION OF TIMOTHY BITTLE IN SUPPORT OF PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

Holly O. Whatley Colantuono, Highsmith & Whatley, PC 790 E. Colorado Blvd., Suite 850 Pasadena, CA 91101 hwhatley@chwlaw.us Counsel for Defendant City of Pasadena

Michele Beal Bagneris City of Pasadena 100 North Garfield Avenue, Suite N210 Pasadena, CA 91109 mbagneris@ci.pasadena.ca.us Counsel for Defendant City of Pasadena

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct. Service was instituted on the date listed below.

DATED: February 27, 2018 SIGNED: